



CASE REPORT GENERAL RECONSTRUCTION

Secondary healing over NovoSorb® biodegradable temporising matrix (BTM) in the unwell patient: a case report

¹ Launceston General Hospital, Launceston, Tasmania, AUSTRALIA. ² Launceston Plastic and Cosmetic Surgery Unit, Launceston, Tasmania, AUSTRALIA.

Keywords: NovoSorb, wound healing, skin

Submitted: 2021 Mar 14 AEST Revised: 2021 Aug16, Sept 14 AEST Accepted: 2021 Sept17 AEST Published: 2022 Sept 30 AEST DOI: 10.34239/ajops.v5n2.301

Australasian Journal of Plastic Surgery

Vol 5, Issue 2, 2022 | September 30 2022 AEST

Introduction

NovoSorb® (PolyNovo Biomaterials Pty Ltd, 2/320 Lorimer Street, Port Melbourne, Victoria, 3207, Australia) biodegradable temporising matrix (BTM) is a synthetic dermal substitute that has provided an alternate approach to dermal loss and reconstruction to the classical reconstructive ladder. Biodegradable temporising matrix is designed to be used in a two-stage process in conjunction with skin grafting and provides an intermediate step where the wound bed is improved prior to definitive grafting.¹ To date, there are few cases that have documented secondary intention healing over BTM and these have involved small areas in physiologically well individuals. This case represents the largest area of healing via secondary intention over BTM we are aware of in the literature, which resulted from continued deferment of the secondary grafting procedures due to the patient's fluctuating acute medical status.

Case

A66-year-oldwomanwasreferred to the Launceston General Hospital (Tasmania, Australia) plastic surgery unit in March 2020 with extensive skin necrosis of her bilateral upper limbs and left lower leg secondary to an undifferentiated vasculitic process, estimated at 8 per cent of her total body surface area (TBSA). Her medical history of note included Sjogren's syndrome and supraventricular tachycardia managed with apixaban. The patient was under the joint care of the rheumatology and dermatology teams and was commenced on high dose corticosteroids and methotrexate.

After a period of medical stability, the patient underwent serial debridement of the remaining eschar down to fat totalling approximately 4.5 per cent TBSA. Given the depth and size of the defects and still unclear aetiology, BTM was applied to temporise the wound and was dressed with Acticoat® and Hypafix® (Smith and Nephew, 85 Waterloo Road, North Ryde, NSW, 2113, Australia). Split skin grafting was scheduled five weeks post-BTM application, but a further flare up of cutaneous necrosis and anaemia of unknown origin necessitated deferment. In the subsequent months, the patient had several hospital admissions

with recurrent medical decompensations and community-acquired pneumonias thought to be related to her immunosuppression and still unclear diagnosis. As the BTM showed signs of stable integration and resistance to infection, skin grafting continued to be postponed.

During this time the patient had frequent wound reviews in the plastics clinic and was managed with chlorhexidine wash and Mepilex® lite foam dressing (Mölnlycke Health Care Pty Ltd, Level 4, 12 Narabang Way, Belrose, NSW, 2085, Australia). Due to high exudate secondary to infection, this was initially a daily dressing plan performed by community nursing but was reduced to twice weekly after BTM integration. At three months post-BTM application, the bilateral upper limb wounds showed significant secondary healing and wound contraction with partial auto-delamination of the BTM sealing membrane. The remaining membrane was delaminated in clinic and wounds were dressed with foam dressing until completion. At six months post-BTM application, bilateral upper limbs were completely healed by secondary healing (Figure 1). The lower leg wound required surgical debridement of infected BTM and was managed with negative pressure wound therapy (NPWT) followed by simple dressings and resulted in a chronic wound. Of interest, the patient has retrospectively been diagnosed with calciphylaxis, a rare and poorly understood disorder resulting in vascular calcification and microthrombi leading to skin and soft tissue necrosis.

Discussion

Biodegradable temporising matrix is a synthetic dermal substitute that has been described for use in the treatment of full thickness skin defects encountered in burns, necrotising skin and soft tissue infections and trauma.1-5 It is designed to be used in a two-stage reconstructive process. The first stage involves application of the BTM to a debrided full thickness dermal wound. Fibroblasts and neovasculature then migrate into the synthetic matrix creating a neodermis suitable for grafting. After integration of the BTM, the second stage of reconstruction involves delaminating the sealing membrane and application of a split skin graft onto the underlying neodermis.5-7 Case series on burns patients and those with complex trauma have reported excellent outcomes with reliable integration, resistance to infection and good scar outcomes.1,4,8



Fig 1. Clinical course of bilateral upper limbs from initial presentation to wound closure via secondary intention healing (a) eschar at initial referral (b) week one post-BTM application (c) week three post-BTM application, clinically infected with significant ooze and minimal signs of integration (d) week six post-BTM application, healthy pink colour showing good integration (e) Week 18 post-BTM application, BTM delaminated and scars showing signs of epithelisation and contraction (f) week 26 post-BTM application, scar maturation with complete epithelisation and small blister formation

This case represents a deviation from the recommended management of BTM. The first stage of reconstruction was successful; however, the second stage of grafting was unable to be completed due to the patient's unstable medical status. A literature review revealed just three other cases of secondary healing over BTM and these were in small isolated areas. 9–10

Our patient healed via epithelisation over the BTM with associated contracture of the wounds without deformity or banding. Initially the scars matured with a mixed scar pattern exhibiting both areas of hypo- and hyperpigmentation; however, throughout healing the scars remained supple and maintained good contour with the surrounding



Fig 2. Upper limb scars at 12 months post-BTM application

tissue. At 26 weeks post-BTM application, the upper limb wounds had largely healed. Elbow range of motion was preserved and the patient reported no itch or neuropathic pain associated with the scars. Improved scar cosmesis and itch scores have been previously noted in case reports on burns patients following BTM application and grafting compared to grafting alone. Overall the patient was satisfied with the cosmetic and functional scar outcomes, and at the 12-month follow-up scars were soft and pliable and variations in pigmentation had reduced (**Figure 2**).

Biodegradable temporising matrix's resistance to infection is well documented.1 As demonstrated by Wagstaff and colleagues in the first human case series on BTM, and Solanki and colleagues in a recent series of 25 complex wounds,4 20-40 per cent of BTM cases exhibited clinical signs of infection, but just one case resulted in failure of BTM integration.^{5,8} Our case presents similar results. Three weeks post application, the BTM exhibited signs of clinical infection including a yellow-grey colour, significant exudate and wound swabs positive for Staphylococcus aureus and Enterococcus. The patient was managed with appropriate oral antibiotics and daily dressings in the community where the exudate under the sealing membrane was expressed and the wound cleansed with a chlorhexadine soak prior to application of a Mepilex® foam dressing. Our unit has had success with this management plan for simple seromas under the BTM and so chose to trial this management on infected BTM. While the lower limb BTM was unable to be salvaged, the upper limb BTM continued to integrate despite the patient's physiological and pharmacological immunosuppression demonstrating notable resistance to infection.

On reflection, the decision to use BTM was likely the right choice for this patient despite being unable to complete the second stage of reconstruction. At the time of debridement and reconstruction, the patient had been medically stable and was thought to be progressing well in remission from the primary insult and so functional and cosmetic factors were considered when deciding on reconstructive options. Biodegradable temporising matrix was chosen due to the size, depth and varied location of the defects. Negative pressure wound therapy was not used initially due to the number of limbs involved, which would have significantly affected the patient's mobility and ongoing reconditioning, however it was used on the lower leg after BTM failure. Primary skin grafting into the defects was considered, however was opted against due to concerns over donor site morbidity and the impressive cosmetic outcomes following BTM reconstruction being seen in the unit. Given the retrospective diagnosis of calciphylaxis, it is still unclear if the decision to avoid immediate skin grafting was correct. There is no consensus in the appropriate management of calciphylaxis wounds with some groups advocating against grafting due to the risk of donor site morbidity. The literature contains several case reports and series documenting the challenging nature of these wounds, which often require multiple trips to theatre, debridements and have variable graft success with one retrospective study of seven patients reporting individual graft takes of 30-90 per cent.11-12 Furthermore, in our patient's case, reconstructive flap options were limited due to the size and location of the defects and concerns regarding reliability of vascular pedicles given the unclear diagnosis.

Conclusion

This case reports the largest area of secondary healing over BTM we are aware of in the literature. Given the patient's overall instability and retrospective diagnosis of calciphylaxis, the outcome of soft pliable scars without skin grafting within six months is a positive outcome. Biodegradable temporising matrix provided adequate temporising coverage largely resistant to infection despite the patient's immunosuppression and facilitated ongoing healing despite poor physiological reserve.

Patient consent

Patients/guardians have given informed consent to the publication of images and/or data.

Conflict of interest

The authors have no conflicts of interest to disclose.

Funding declaration

The authors received no financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

References

- 1 Greenwood JE, Schmitt BJ, Wagstaff MJD. Experience with a synthetic bilayer biodegradable temporising matrix in significant burn injury. *Burns Open*. 2018;2(1):17–34. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.burnso.2017.08.001
- Wagstaff MJD, Salna IM, Caplash Y, Greenwood JE. Biode-gradable temporising matrix (BTM) for the reconstruction of defects following serial debridement for necrotising fasciitis: a case series. *Burns Open.* 2019;3(1):12–30. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.burnso.2018.10.002
- 3 Crowley K, Balaji S, Stalewski H, Carroll D, Mariyappa-Rathanma D. Use of biodegradable temporizing matrix (BTM) in large trauma induced soft tissue injury: a two stage repair. *J Pediatr Surg Case Rep.* 2020;63:101652. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsc.2020.101652

- 4 Solanski NS, York B, Gao Y, Baker P, Wong She RB. A consecutive case series of defects reconstructed using NovoSorb® biodegradable temporising matrix: initial experience and early results. *J Plast Reconstr Aes*. Oct 2020;73(10):1845–853. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bjps.2020.05.067 PMid:32563668
- 5 Greenwood JE, Damkat-Thomas L, Schmitt B, Dearman B. Successful proof of the 'two-stage strategy' for major burn wound repair. *Burns Open*. 2020;4(3):121–31. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.burnso.2020.06.003
- 6 Greenwood JE. A paradigm shift in practice—the benefits of early active wound temporisation rather than early skin grafting after burn eschar excision. *Anaesth Intensive Care*. 2020;48(3):93–100. https://doi.org/10.1177/0310057X19895788 PMid:32233644
- 7 Greenwood JE. The evolution of acute burn care—retiring the split skin graft. Ann R Coll Surg Engl. 2017;99(6):432–38. https://doi.org/10.1308/rcsann.2017.0110 PMid:28560888 PMCid:PMC5696993
- 8 Wagstaff MJD, Schmitt BJ, Coghlan P, Finkemeyer JP, Caplash Y, Greenwood JE. A biodegradable polyurethane dermal matrix in reconstruction of free flap donor sites: a pilot study. *Eplasty*. 2015;15:102–18. PMid: 25987938 PMCid: PMC4412164
- 9 Polynovo. Case studies: hand trauma: re-epithelialisation of hand trauma after BTM application, Nov 2018 [web page]. [Cited 20 December 2020] Available from: https://polynovo. com/case-studies/.
- 10 Li H, Lim P, Stanley E, Lee G, Lin S, Neoh D, Liew J, Ng S. Experience with NovoSorb biodegradable temporising matrix in reconstruction of complex wounds. ANZ J Surg. 2021;91(9); 1744-50 https://doi.org/10.1111/ans.16936 PMid:34085755 PMCid:PMC8518801
- 11 Wollina U. Update on Cutaneous Calciphylaxis. *Indian J Dermatol.* 2013;58(2):87–92. https://doi.org/10.4103/0019-5154.108026 PMid:23716795 PMCid:PMC3657275
- 12 Tsolakidis A, Grieb G, Piatkowski A, Alharbi Z, Demir E, Simons D, Pallua N. Calciphylaxis—a challenging and solvable task for plastic surgery? A case report. *BMC Dermatol*. 2013;13:1. https://doi.10.1186/1471-5945-13-1 PMid:23311951 PMCid:PMC3566927



CC-BY-4. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC-BY-4) which permits unrestricted use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. View this license's legal deed at http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0 and legal code at http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/legalcode for more information.